PTO/SB/64 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

PETITION	FOR REV	WAL OF	AN AF	PLICATI	ON FOR	PATEN'
ABANDON	NED UNIN	TENTION	IALLY	UNDER 3	37 CFR 1	.137(b)

Docket Number (Optional)

Mo6418/MD01-49

First named inventor: Jan L.R. Clatty

Application No.: 09/876,778

Art Unit: 1711

Filed: 06/07/2001

Examiner: John M. Cooney

Title: Polyurethane Foams Having Improved Heat Sag and a Process for Their Production

Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (703) 872-9306

> NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

- (1) Petition fee;
- (2) Reply and/or issue fee;
- (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and
- (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.

1.1		e I entity-fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims sm er than small entity – fee \$ <u>1,500.00</u> (37 CFR 1.17(m))	all entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.
2.	Reply and A.	d/or fee The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of Response to Board of Appeals Decision	(identify type of reply):
		has been filed previously on is enclosed herewith.	
	В.	The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ has been paid previously on is enclosed herewith.	 :

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

1200.00 I

00000013 133848 09876778

03/08/2005 HALI11

3.	Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	
	Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995	i, no terminal disclaimer is required.
	A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time PTO/SB/63).	
	STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [I Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a questic abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was subsections (III)(C) and (D)).] WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit	NOTE: The United States Patent and on as to whether either the s unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c),
	included on this form. Provide credit card information and auth	orization on PTO-2038.
	Mondanul I. Wales	3/3/05
	Signature	['] Date
	Lyndanne M. Whalen	29,457
	Typed or printed name	Registration Number, if applicable
	Bayer MaterialScience LLC, Patent Department, 100 Bayer Road	(412) 777-3843
	Address	Telephone Number
	Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741	
	Address	
[Enclosures: X Fee Payment	
	X Reply	•
	Terminal Disclaimer Form	
	Additional sheets containing statements establishing u	nintentional delay
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	X Other: Declaration Under 37 CFR 1.132	
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION	[37 CFR 1.8(a)]
	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being: Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Ma Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
	Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the Ur Office as (703) 872-9306. 3/3/05	nited States Patent and Trademark
	Date	Signature 2
		lanne M. Whalen
	Typed or printed na	ame of person signing certificate

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Mo6418 / MD01-49 Docket No.

Filed 09/876,778 Serial No.

Jan L.R. Clatty

Kindly place the date below that the enclosed

-FORM PTO/SB/64 (PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF APPLIPATE)

-RESPONSE

-DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.132

MoCo 13-3848 \$1,500.00



was received in the Patent Office and return this card





PATENT APPLICATION Mo6418 MD01-49

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION OF)
JAN L.R. CLATTY) GROUP ART UNIT: 1711
SERIAL NUMBER: 09/876,778) EXAMINER:
FILED: June 7, 2001) John M. Cooney)
TITLE: POLYURETHANE FOAMS HAVING IMPROVED HEAT SAG AND A PROCESS FOR THEIR PRODUCTION	

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Board of Appeals decision in the above-identified application dated September 30, 2004. A Separate Petition to Revive this case is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an enveloped addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 3/3/05

Lyndanne M. Whalen, Reg. No. 29,457

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

March 3, 2005

Date

REMARKS

In its decision of September 30, 2004, the Board held that Applicants' Claims 1-7 were *prima facie* obvious in view of the teachings of Kurth (U.S. Patent 6,180,686) in the absence of objective evidence of unexpected results.

In response, enclosed is a copy of the Declaration of Jan L. Clatty in which such objective evidence is presented. More specifically, the enclosed Declaration reports and discusses the results of experiments conducted with varying amounts of vegetable-based polyol.

The data and Exhibits presented in Ms. Clatty's Declaration clearly show that use of a bio-based polyol in the amounts required by Applicant's claims produces rigid polyurethanes having both a higher Flex Modulus and a higher Heat Distortion Temperature than polyurethanes made with those same polyols in amounts greater than 30% (i.e., amounts outside the range required by Applicant's claims).

This combination of properties is neither taught nor suggested by the Kurth disclosure.

Applicant therefore believes that her invention as claimed in Claims 1-7 is not rendered obvious by the teachings of Kurth and respectfully requests that Claims 1-7 be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Lyndanne M. Whalen Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 29,457

Bayer MaterialScience LLC 100 Bayer Road Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15205-9741 (412) 777-3843 FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER: (412) 777-3902 s:\shared\jmf\LMW6418



PATENT APPLICATION Mo 6418 MD 01-49-PU

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION OF)
JAN L. CLATTY)) GROUP ART UNIT: 1711
SERIAL NUMBER: 09/876,778)) EXAMINER: JOHN M. COONEY)
FILED: JUNE 7, 2001))
TITLE: POLYURETHANE FOAMS HAVING IMPROVED HEAT SAG AND A PROCESS FOR THEIR PRODUCTION))))

DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.132

- I, Jan L. Clatty of 909 Tottenham Drive, Moon Township, PA 15108 declare as follows:
- 1. I studied Chemistry at Penn State University and obtained a Bachelor of Science degree in 1984. Since 1986 I have been employed by Bayer MaterialScience LLC and its predecessor companies in Pittsburgh, PA to do research and development work in the area of polyurethane foams and elastomers. My current position is Principal Scientist in the Polyurethanes RIM Elastomers and Foams Department.
- 2. I am the inventor of the subject matter being claimed in the aboveidentified United States patent application.
- 3. I have read and am familiar with the disclosures made in WO 00/23491 and in U.S. Patent 6,180,686 which have been cited against the claims pending in the above-identified application.

4. In order to demonstrate that the invention claimed in the above-identified application is significantly different from the developments disclosed in WO 00/23491 and in U.S. Patent 6,180,686, I performed or supervised the experiments described below.

EXPERIMENTS

	The following starting materials were used in these Experiments:
SOY A	a polymerized soybean oil having a hydroxyl functionality of 1.8,
	a hydroxyl number of 51.8 and an equivalent weight of 1100
	which is commercially available under the name SoyOyl P38.05
	(low odor) from Urethane Soy Systems Co., Inc.
SOY B	a polymerized soybean oil having a hydroxyl functionality of 3, a
	hydroxyl number of 174 and an equivalent weight of 322 which
	is commercially available under the name SoyOyl P38.GC5 from
	Urethane Soy Systems Co., Inc.
SOY C	a polymerized soybean oil having a hydroxyl functionality of 3.4,
	a hydroxyl number of 65.8 and an equivalent weight of 850
	which is commercially available under the name SoyOyl P56.05
	from Urethane Soy Systems Co., Inc.
POLYOL A	Glycerol-started polyether of propylene oxide having a
	functionality of 3 and a hydroxyl number of 1050 (molecular
	weight about 160)
POLYOL B	A glycerol-started polyether of propylene oxide and ethylene
	oxide (83 wt.% propylene oxide and 17 wt.% ethylene oxide)
	having a hydroxyl number of 28 and a functionality of 3.
DC 193	Silicone surfactant available as Dow Corning 193 from Dow
	Corning Corporation.
PU-1748	A quaternary ammonium salt of the amide of tall oil and N,N'
	dimethyl-1,3-diamine propane.

modified diphenylmethane diisocyanate having an NCO content of 27% by weight which is commercially available from Bayer MaterialScience LLC under the name Mondur 486.

General Procedure:

An isocyanate-reactive component composed of the materials listed in TABLE A or TABLE B in the amounts indicated in parts by weight in TABLE A or TABLE B was prepared. This isocyanate-reactive component was then reacted with ISO in an amount such that the Isocyanate Index was 110. The ISO and isocyanate-reactive component were mixed using an air mixer and hand cast or poured into an aluminum lab mold. The Flex Modulus and Heat Distortion Temperature of the molded articles are graphically presented in either Exhibit A (Experiments 1-13) or Exhibit B (Experiments 1 and 14-17).

The Compression Strength, Flex Modulus and Heat Distortion Temperature for each polyurethane foam made are reported in TABLE A or TABLE B. The Flex Modulus and Heat Distortion Temperature of the rigid, closed-cell polyurethane foams made in these Experiments are plotted against the % Soy Polyol in the isocyanate-reactive component in either the attached Exhibit A or Exhibit B.

The Flex Modulus was determined in accordance with ASTM D 790 and is reported in Exhibits A and B in 10³ psi and graphically presented in Exhibits A and B.

The Compression Strength was determined in accordance with ASTM D 695 @ 25% and is reported in psi in TABLES A and B.

Heat Distortion was determined in accordance with ASTM D 648
Temperature @ 66 psi and is reported in °C in TABLES A and B and graphically presented in Exhibits A and B.

Experiments 1-13

No soy-based polyol was included in the isocyanate-reactive component used in control Experiment 1.

In each of experiments 2-13, the isocyanate-reactive component did include one of three different soy-based polyols in the amount indicated in TABLE A. In each of these experiments, the soy-based polyol was simply added to the isocyanate-reactive component used in control Experiment 1. The amount of isocyanate used was adjusted to compensate for the increased amount of reactive hydroxyl groups and to maintain the NCO Index at 110.

TABLE A

Experiment/	1*	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Material									
SOY A (pbw)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOY B (pbw)	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	10	30
SOY C (pbw)	0	1	5	10	30	0	0	0	0
POLYOL A	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
(pbw)									
POLYOL B	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
(pbw)									
DC 193 (pbw)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
PU-1748	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
(pbw)									
WATER	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
(pbw)			i	ļ					
% SOY1	0	1	4	8	21	1	4	8	21
HDT @ 66	58	58	57	55	54	69	67	66	63
psi (°C)									
Compression	4474	4459	4533	4120	3930	5028	4883	4656	4203
Strength @									
25%							*((*		
Flex Modulus	161	162	154	147	116	179	173	163	135
(10 ³ psi)									
pbw = parts by	woight		ı				L	L	L

pbw = parts by weight

^{*} Comparative Experiment

¹ % SOY - wt% of vegetable-based polyol present in isocyanate-reactive component

TABLE A (cont'd)

Experiment/ 10 11 12 13 Material SOY A (pbw) 1 5 10 30 SOY B (pbw) 0 0 0 0 0 SOY C (pbw) 0 0 0 0 0 POLYOL A 55 55 55 55 55 (pbw) POLYOL B 45 45 45 45 (pbw) DC 193 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 3 3 PU-1748 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 (pbw) WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY¹ 1 4 8 21 HDT @ 66 psi 56 58 57 54
SOY A (pbw) 1 5 10 30 SOY B (pbw) 0 0 0 0 SOY C (pbw) 0 0 0 0 POLYOL A 55 55 55 55 (pbw) 2 45 45 45 45 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 3 PU-1748 6 6 6 6 6 (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY¹ 1 4 8 21
SOY B (pbw) 0 0 0 0 SOY C (pbw) 0 0 0 0 POLYOL A 55 55 55 55 (pbw) 45 45 45 45 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 PU-1748 6 6 6 6 (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY¹ 1 4 8 21
SOY C (pbw) 0 0 0 0 POLYOL A (pbw) 55 55 55 55 (pbw) 45 45 45 45 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 PU-1748 (pbw) 6 6 6 6 (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 8 21
POLYOL A 55 55 55 55 (pbw) POLYOL B 45 45 45 45 (pbw) DC 193 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 3 9 PU-1748 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
(pbw) POLYOL B (pbw) DC 193 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 PU-1748 6 6 6 6 (pbw) WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY¹ 1 4 8 21
POLYOL B
(pbw) DC 193 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 PU-1748 6 6 6 6 (pbw) WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY¹ 1 4 8 21
DC 193 (pbw) 3 3 3 3 PU-1748 6 6 6 6 (pbw) WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY¹ 1 4 8 21
PU-1748 6 6 6 6 6 (pbw) WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY¹ 1 4 8 21
(pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY ¹ 1 4 8 21
WATER (pbw) 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 % SOY ¹ 1 4 8 21
% SOY ¹ 1 4 8 21
LIDE CO.
HDT @ 66 poi 50
HDT @ 66 psi 56 58 57 54
(°C)
Compression 2269 4529 4218 3820
Strength @
25%
Flex Modulus 161 155 157 110
(10 ³ psi)

pbw = parts by weight

¹% SOY = wt% vegetable-based polyol in isocyanate-reactive component

As can be seen from TABLE A and Exhibit A, there were slight differences in Flex Modulus and Heat Distortion Temperature for the foams due to differences in the soy-based polyol. However, simply adding the soy-based polyol to the isocyanate-reactive component reduced the Flex Modulus of the polyurethane. The Heat Distortion Temperature of the polyurethanes made with the added soy-based polyol were comparable to or slightly better than the control.

Experiments 1 and 14-17

In Experiment 1, the control, no soy-based polyol was included in the isocyanate-reactive component.

In each of Experiments 14-17, the soy-based polyol (POLYOL A) was included in the isocyanate-reactive component in the amount indicated in TABLE B. In these Experiments, the soy-based polyol was used in substitution for a portion of POLYOL B (Experiments 14-16) or all of POLYOL B (Experiment 17) that had been used in control Experiment 1. The amount of isocyanate used remained relatively constant because the number of reactive hydroxyl groups in the isocyanate-reactive component remained relatively constant.

TABLE B

Experiment/	1*	14	15	16	17*
Material					,
SOY A (pbw)	0	15	25	35	45
SOY B (pbw)	0	0	0	0	0
SOY C (pbw)	0	0	0	0	0
POLYOL A	55	55	55	55	55
(pbw)					
POLYOL B	45	30	20	10	0
(pbw)		İ			
DC 193 (pbw)	3	3	3	3	3
PU-1748	6	6	6	6	6
(pbw)					
WATER (pbw)	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
% SOY	0	13	21	30	38
HDT @ 66 psi	58	68	73	74	64
(°C)					. [
Compression	4474	4478	4583	4485	4359
Strength @					
25%					
Flex Modulus	161	178	175	171	150
(10 ³ psi)					

pbw = parts by weight

^{*} Comparative Example

¹% SOY = wt% vegetable-based polyol in isocyanate-reactive mixture

As can be seen from TABLE B and Exhibit B, the Flex Modulus of the polyurethanes made with the soy-based polyol was higher than that of the control for each foam with the exception of the foam produced with an isocyanate-reactive component containing 38% soy-based polyol. Similarly, the Heat Distortion Temperature for the foams made with 13 wt%, 21 wt% and 30 wt% (based on total weight of isocyanate-reactive component) of the soy-based polyol was higher than that of the control (Experiment 1) and the foam made with an isocyanate-reactive component that included 38 wt% soy-based polyol (Experiment 17).

The improved Heat Distortion Temperature and Flex Modulus properties achieved when up to 30 wt% of the isocyanate-reactive component was a vegetable-based polyol could not have been expected from the teachings of either U.S. 6,180,686 or WO 00/23491.

5. CONCLUSIONS

As can be seen from the data presented in TABLE A and Exhibit A, just adding a vegetable-based polyol to a typical polyurethane-forming reaction mixture did not significantly improve the properties of the polyurethane.

It can be seen from the data presented in TABLE B and Exhibit B, that when a vegetable-derived polyol was used as a substitute for a portion of a polyether polyol of the type which is typically used in such formulations, polyurethanes having improved properties were obtained. More specifically, when a soy-based polyol is used in an amount greater than 0 and less than or equal to 30 wt%, polyurethanes characterized by higher Flex Moduli and Heat Distortion Temperatures than (a) the control in which no vegetable oil-derived polyol was used and (b) compositions in which greater than 30 wt% soy-based polyol was used were produced.

There is no teaching in either WO 00/23491 or U.S. Patent 6,180,686 which would lead one skilled in the art to expect such results.

The undersigned declares further that all statements made herein of 6. his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Further Declarant Sayeth Not.

f:\shared\kpl\lmw09.dec